

Solving Universe Level Constraints

Marc Bezem
Department of Informatics
University of Bergen

August 2022

Thierry: thanks for all the collaboration!

- ▶ 14 joint papers spanning three decades
- ▶ many, many interesting ideas and discussions
- ▶ a lot of friendship

The talk will be about the latest joint paper:

[BC22] **Loop-checking and the uniform word problem for join-semilattices with an inflationary endomorphism, TCS, 2022**

Crash course: Solving max-constraints in the integers

- ▶ Example: $a \geq b + 9$ and $\max(b, x) \geq x + 1$
- ▶ Simple algorithm (may not terminate):
 1. Start in, e.g., the all-zero state $a = b = x = 0$
 2. Evaluate the lhs's in the state
 3. Adjust the rhs's to become \leq the (old) lhs's
 4. Repeat from point 2 with the new state until stable

- ▶ Example:

a	0	0	0	...	0	0
b	0	-9	-9	...	-9	-9
x	0	-1	-2	...	-9	-10

Remarks on the ‘max-atom problem’ MAP [BNR0x]

- ▶ Easy example of a *loop*: $\max(x, y) \geq x + 1, \max(x, y) \geq y + 1$
- ▶ MAP can be encoded in Presburger Arithmetic. Better:
- ▶ There is a ‘small-model property’: we know when to stop the simple algorithm and conclude unsatisfiability
- ▶ The simple algorithm is *weakly* polynomial, but not *strongly*
- ▶ MAP has many (polynomial) equivalents: in game theory, AND/OR scheduling, shortest hyperpaths, ...
- ▶ MAP is in NP and in co-NP (short certificates)
- ▶ Big open problem: is MAP (strongly) PTIME solvable?
 - ▶ Yes: [ButkovicZimmermannDAM2006](#)
 - ▶ Open: [BezemNieuwenhuisRodriguezDAM2008](#)
 - ▶ Withdrawn by authors: [LahlouTruffetArxiv2021](#)

Connection with type theory

- ▶ $A : U_i, B : U_j \vdash A \rightarrow B : U_{\max(i,j)}$, similarly for Π, Σ, \dots
- ▶ $\vdash U_i : U_{i+1}$
- ▶ Type inference involves constraint solving for universes
- ▶ Pioneers: Huet, Harper, Pollack (implicit universe levels, with cumulativity, no \max)
- ▶ Even with cumulativity, \max can be useful (Herbelin)
- ▶ Other important influences:
 - ▶ Courant (explicit universe levels)
 - ▶ Sozeau, Tabareau (universe polymorphism in Coq)
 - ▶ Voevodsky (universe polymorphism, explicit constraints)
- ▶ Later, p.12: application to Coq, experiments by Sozeau

Our minimalistic proposal [BC22]

- ▶ Design consideration: a weak theory allows many possible interpretations
- ▶ Algebraic theory of universe levels: join-semilattice with inflationary endomorphism $_+$,

$$x \vee x^+ = x^+ \quad (x \vee y)^+ = x^+ \vee y^+,$$

plus associativity, commutativity and idempotency of \vee .

- ▶ We use $x \leq y$ for $x \vee y = y$, so $x \leq x^+$ means $_+$ inflationary
- ▶ Given a set C of constraints, a *loop* is a term t such that $t^+ \leq t$ can be inferred from C .
- ▶ THM: if there is no loop, then there is a model of C in $(\mathbb{N}, \max, \text{succ})$
- ▶ Important for type inference: loop-checking and the uniform word problem

From constraints to Horn clauses (Lorenzen + $_{-}^{+}$)

- ▶ Atoms $v + k$, where $v + 0 := v$, $v + (k + 1) := (v + k)^{+}$
- ▶ Recall the example: $a \geq b + 9$ and $\max(b, x) \geq x + 1$
- ▶ Horn clauses: $a \rightarrow b + 9$ and $b, x \rightarrow x + 1$
- ▶ The endo-axiom + congruence lead to $a + k \rightarrow b + k + 9$ and $b + k, x + k \rightarrow x + k + 1$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$
- ▶ Also, $v^{+} \geq v$ leads to $v + k + 1 \rightarrow v + k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $v \in V$
- ▶ Now we can infer from a, b, x the atoms $b + 9, \dots, b + 1$ and $x + 1$, so $x + 2, \dots, x + 9, x + 10$
- ▶ Details in [BC22]: the Horn clause approach is equivalent to the semilattice approach
- ▶ NB $a = 0$, $b = -9$, $x = -10$ mirrors $a + 0$, $b + 9$, $x + 10$ because of the duality in the translation from constraints to Horn clauses (disjunctive $\max(b, x)$ to conjunctive b, x).

Computation of the least model

- ▶ Let \mathbb{N}^∞ be $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and V a finite set of variables
- ▶ For $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^\infty$, let $\downarrow(f)$ be $\{v + k \mid v \in V, k \in \mathbb{N}, k \leq f(v)\}$
- ▶ Let C be a set of Horn clauses coming from a set of constraints (as explained by example previously)
- ▶ Sufficient for all goals in [BC22]: given f , compute the least model of C including $\downarrow(f)$
- ▶ Possible: forward reasoning, setting $f(v) = \infty$ if $v + k$ exceeds the small-model bound
- ▶ However, often one needs just a few universe levels
- ▶ The proposal in [BC22] can be seen as forward reasoning with on-the-fly loop-checking
- ▶ [BC22] is constructive mathematics, the algorithm is implicit in Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.3

Algorithm

▶ Auxiliary functions:

- ▶ $forward(V, C, f) := (W, f')$ with f' the (cumulative) result of one application of each Horn clause in C , with W the set of variables that have changed.
- ▶ $simplify(V, C, f) := None$ if $f(v) < \infty$ for all $v \in V$, otherwise $Some(V', C', f')$ in which all v with $f(v) = \infty$ have been eliminated from (V, C, f)
- ▶ (Skip on first reading) preconditions: $W \subset V$ and f finite on $V - W$ (for termination); notations: $C|W$ clauses over W , $C \downarrow W$ clauses with conclusion over W . Returns the least model of $C \downarrow W$ including $\downarrow(f)$.

$lem33(V, W, C, f) :=$

 let $g = thm32(W, \emptyset, C|W, f)$ in

 let $(W', g') = forward(V, C \downarrow W, f \vee g)$ in

 if $W' = \emptyset$ then $f \vee g$ else $lem33(V, W, C, f \vee g')$

 endall

Main function related to Theorem 3.2 [BC22]

$U \subseteq V$ is the set of variables that have been increased since the main call $thm32(V, \emptyset, C, f)$. Primary induction on V , secondary induction on $V - U$. Returns the least model of C including $\downarrow(f)$.

```
 $thm32(V, U, C, f) :=$  let  $(W, f') = forward(V, C, f)$  in  
  if  $W = \emptyset$  then  $f$   
  elseif  $U \cup W = V$  then  $\lambda.. \infty$   
  else match  $simplify(V, C, f')$  with  
     $Some(V', C', g) \implies f' \vee thm32(V', (U \cup W) \cap V', C', g)$   
     $None \implies$  (* all  $f'$ -values finite, so  $lem33$  terminates *)  
    let  $g = lem33(V, U \cup W, C, f')$  in  
      let  $(W', g') = forward(V, C, g)$  in  
        if  $W' = \emptyset$  then  $g$  else  $thm32(V, U \cup W \cup W', C, g')$   
  endall
```

Example

- ▶ Let C consist of $b + 3 \rightarrow x$ and $a \rightarrow b + 9$ and $b, x \rightarrow x + 1$
- ▶ Then $V = \{a, b, x\}$, subsets denoted bx, x
- ▶ For later use:
 - ▶ $forward(V, C, a0b0x0) = (bx, a0b9x1)$
 - ▶ $forward(bx, C|bx, a0b9x1) = (x, a0b9x7)$ cumulative
 - ▶ $forward(x, C|x, f) = (\emptyset, f)$ for all f , since $C|x = \emptyset$
 - ▶ $forward(x, C\downarrow x, a0b9xk) = (x, a0b9x(k + 1))$ for all $k \leq 9$
- ▶ $thm32(V, \emptyset, C, a0b0x0) \rightsquigarrow lem33(V, bx, C, a0b9x1) \rightsquigarrow$
 $thm32(bx, \emptyset, C|bx, a0b9x1) \rightsquigarrow lem33(bx, x, C|bx, a0b9x7) \rightsquigarrow$
 $thm32(x, \emptyset, C|x, a0b9x7) = a0b9x7$
 $forward(x, C\downarrow x, a0b9x7) = (x, a0b9x8)$
... 'pumping'
 $thm32(x, \emptyset, C|x, a0b9x10) = a0b9x10$ model
... unwinding

Application to Coq

- ▶ Coq is currently not using `max` in the constraints, using instead extra variables and constraints, and cumulativity
- ▶ Example, Coq's standard library: ca. 3K variables, 11K constraints and only four universe levels needed
- ▶ **[experiment] Universes loop checking with clauses #16022**
- ▶ Discussion maps vs. hash tables: see **realworldocaml**
- ▶ Ideally, the trusted core of Coq is fully certified
- ▶ The problem that the algorithm solves is in NP and in co-NP, so we can get short certificates of either outcome
- ▶ Proposal: fastest possible implementation + certified validation of certificates
- ▶ NB in Coq the algorithm should be incremental and support backtracking